THE DEVELOPMENT OF PENANG SHOP PRICE INDEX (PSPI)
USING LASPEYRES HEDONIC PRICE MODEL

Mohamad Hafiz Jamaludin¹, Suriatini Ismail², Norziha Ismail³

¹Faculty of Creative Technology and Heritage,
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA KELANTAN, MALAYSIA
²Faculty of Architecture and Ekistics,
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA KELANTAN, MALAYSIA
³Valuation and Property Services Department
MINISTRY OF FINANCE

Abstract

The index is considered an important benchmark and is a decision-making tool in the financial and capital markets, as well as in the property market. In Malaysia, continuous monitoring of property price movements is important as almost half of banking exposure is on property. Further, NAPIC has published indicators displaying the performance of property such as MHPI and PBO-RI. However, indicators regarding the price of commercial property are still less widely published in Malaysia. This study was conducted to develop indicators related to the price of commercial property, especially to shop property. This study has focused on the state of Penang as a study area. The literature review methodology is used to identify existing methods and practices used in developing the index of commercial property both in Malaysia and internationally. In determining the appropriate form of hedonic functions for the development of PSPI, analysis of dependent and independent variables was performed. Meanwhile, the development of the index is based on the Laspeyres hedonic model which is the same as the development of MHPI and PBO-RI. The development of PSPI will be able to help the industry and investors to make decisions and benchmark the performance of shop. This is also one of the pilot studies in Malaysia to form an indicator of commercial property.
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INTRODUCTION

Index are considered important benchmarks and are decision-making tools in the financial and capital markets, as well as in the property market (Farragher et al, 2008). In economic maturity, its importance has led to the development of internationally renowned indexes especially in the United States such as the National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF) Property Index (NPI), Moody's / REAL Commercial Property Index, Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Centre for Real Estate (MIT-CRE) Transaction-Based Index. Apart from the United States, there is also an index published by Investment Property Databank (IPD) in the United Kingdom known as the IPD Index. This index shows the performance of commercial and industrial properties which are owned by investment institutions and property companies. Indexes can also help investors in managing, formulating strategies, and making decisions for their investment portfolios.

In Malaysia, the Malaysian House Price Index (MHPI) was first developed in 1997. MHPI is develop using the Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model, where the index is calculated by using the Laspeyres weighted formula (NAPIC, 2018). MHPI is considered one of the important macroeconomic indicators for Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM) and the Ministry of Finance Malaysia (MOF) (BNM, 2017). Following on the introduction of MHPI, NAPIC has published another index known as the Purpose-built Office Rental Index (PBO-RI) Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur. The index was first published in 2011 with quarterly publications and uses the Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model. PBO-RI is a commercial property rental indicator focusing on Purpose-built Office in the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur. Starting in 2016, this index has been expanded to 3 states namely Selangor, Johor, and Penang known as the PBO-RI Klang Valley (WPKL and Selangor), Johor Bahru, and George Town.

Overall, PBORI is the only index published by NAPIC that shows the performance of commercial property in Malaysia, but it is related on rental. There is also a study conducted by Aina Edayu (2015) on the development of office price index in the Kuala Lumpur, but it involves of office price. Thus, this study is a pioneer in the development of a commercial property index that specializes in shop property.

LITERATURE REVIEW

There are two methodologies used in the development of property indices related to commercial property there are appraisal and transaction method. NPI is a commercial property index developed using an appraisal method. NPI has been a key benchmark for measuring property performance to investment institutions since its introduction in 1978 (Fisher, 2003). According to Fisher (2003) and Chegut et al. (2013), Junainah et al. (2019) and Tuti Haryati (2018), the lack of
data record and the less of sales data at that time have led to the development of the index using this approach. However, this approach is not sensitive to current property market and having problem in the valuing process that is lack of property transaction (Geltner et al., 2003 & Chau et al., 2005).

The development of property indices using a transaction method has long been used over the past decade by using evidence of transaction (Bailey et al., 1963; Rosen, 1974; Quigley, 1995; Francke, 2010). This method can be separate into three there are the Repeat Sales Model, Hedonic Price Model, and Hybrid Model. The Repeat Sales model was developed by Bailey et al. (1963), in which the transaction of the same property is studied in two or more periods. Bailey et al. (1963) and Case and Shiller (1987) are pioneers who use the Repeat Sales method in developing index in the residential sector because of the frequent transaction. However, its use in the development of commercial property index is less suitable because it is difficult to obtain commercial property transaction in a short period. Commercial property prices are more volatile which results in them being less transferable than residential property (Hasliza et al., 2018). Yet in Florida, USA a study by Gatzlaff and Haurin (1998) found that indices developed using this model is more realistic than NCREIF indices developed using the appraisal basis.

The hedonic price model is one of the methods developed using the transaction method and has been used more than 70 years ago (Aina Edayu, 2015). This model is developed by considering the characteristics of a property and there is no need for repeat sales model (Haurin, 2003). In principle, this technique can be implemented if all the characteristics that affect the value of the property can be obtained to control the differences in quality of the characteristics of the property transferred at a time (Fisher et al., 2007). The implementation of this method is more relevant if the quality of all hedonic variables is obtainable and complete information is available. The hedonic model has a strong theoretical basis (Griliches 1971; Rosen 1974) because it uses regression techniques to control changes in composition and quality. The use of hedonic price models has been used in the development of MHPI and PBO-RI which have become important benchmarks in Malaysia.

Another model in developing index using transaction-based method is hybrid. The hybrid model essentially combines the repeat sales model and the hedonic price model developed by Quigley (1995). Even so, Quigley (1995) did not see any advantage in developing an index using a hybrid model over a hedonic price model. Tables 1 and 2 show the indexes related to property internationally and in Malaysia along with the methods used in its development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>NCREIF (National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries) Property Index Returns (NPI)</td>
<td>Measuring the property performance through income earned from property.</td>
<td>Appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>IPD Market Indices</td>
<td>This index measures the rate of return on property and allows comparisons between major asset classes.</td>
<td>Appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>NCREIF TBI (Transaction Based Index)</td>
<td>Complementary index to shares of NPI Index and bonds based on transaction.</td>
<td>Transaction &amp; Appraisal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4.  | Moody's/REAL CPPI | Measuring changes in the price of commercial property for markets in the United States and the United Kingdom | • Transaction  
• Repeat Sales Model |
| 5.  | MIT-CRE TBI *started from Q2 2011, TBI produce and publish by NCREIF. | This index measures market movements and return on investment based on the transfer price of property sold in the NCREIF Index database. | • Transaction  
• Hedonic Model |
| 6.  | S&P/GRA Commercial Real Estate Indices | This index is a reliable and consistent benchmark for commercial property prices in the United States. | Transaction |
| 7.  | GSA CPPI (Green Street Advisors Commercial Property Price Index) | This index measures the performance of the REIT portfolio which comprises a large part of the REIT capitalization sector. | Transaction |
| 8.  | S&P Australian Indices (S&P/ASX) | Major equity index invested in Australia. | The index is calculated based on a weighted aggregate methodology. |
| 9.  | EDHEC IEIF Commercial Property Price Index (France) | An index that measures the performance of unlisted property is a collective investment company investing in commercial property in France. | Transaction |

Source: Literature Review
Table 2: Summary Property Index in Malaysia and Methodology’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | MHPI by NAPIC                                                          | Measure the performance and price movement of residential property in Malaysia | • Transaction<br>• Weighted Regression<br>
Laspeyres Model |
| 2   | Residential Property Index (RPI) by Malaysian Institute of Economic Research (MIER) | It is designed to complement macro surveys, namely business situation studies and consumer sentiment studies. | • Surveys |
| 3   | Indeks Harta Tanah by Bursa Malaysia                                   | Measure the performance of the property company under it.                     | • Based on company performance                   |
| 4   | PBO-RI Klang Valley, Johor Bahru & George Town                         | Measure the performance and movement of office rental prices in the Klang Valley, Johor Bahru & George Town. | • Transaction (Rental)<br>
• Laspeyres Hedonic Model |
| 5   | KL-OPI by Aina Edayu (2015)                                           | Measure the performance and price movement of office property in Kuala Lumpur. | • Transaction<br>
• Conventional, Laspeyres & Chained Regression Model |
| 6   | Penang Pre-war Shop Price Index by Henry Butcher                       | Measure the performance and price movement of pre-war shop in Penang does not index. | • Transaction |

Source: Literature Review

Refer to Tables 1 & 2, the index development by using the transaction method is frequently used. Besides, the development of MHPI and PBO-RI also uses the Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model. Therefore, this study has considered developing PSPI using the transaction method with Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model is appropriate based on current practices in Malaysia for property index development.

SHOP PROPERTY MARKET IN PENANG

In 2014, Penang existing stock for shops are 30,200 units which represents 7.5% of the total existing supply for shop in Malaysia. This supply is the fourth highest behind the big states in Malaysia there are Selangor, Johor, and Perak.
In terms of transaction volume, Penang is the sixth highest state that recorded the transaction of shop with a record of 1,401 units as shown in Figure 1 above. It represents 7.0% of the total shop transactions in 2014. However, in terms of transaction value, Penang is ranked fifth with value of RM1,121.04 million representing 7.4% of the total value transaction for shop property in Malaysia. From this data shows that Penang is one of the active states in property market. Therefore, the selection of the state of Penang as a study area is appropriate and suitable to develop an index for shop property.

FINDINGS

Data Description
Initially, total of 14,675 (year 2005 to 2008) shop transaction data were used for this study. This data that can be categorized into 3 there are physical, location, and transaction information. Table 3 shows the description of the variables.
From 14,675 data, only 6,520 data used for PSPI development with the year involved from 2008 to 2014. This is due to problems in data descriptions such as lack of information, incomplete information, selection of area samples, and so on. This removal needs to be done to ensure index developed is more accurate and comprehensive.

**Developing Index Using Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model**

In Malaysia, the Hedonic Price Model with the *Laspeyres* Technique is most widely used in the development of the property price index. It is in developing MHPI and PBORI that published by NAPIC. Besides, Aina Edayu (2015) also...
applies this technique in the development of office price index, namely KL-OPI. The Laspeyres Hedonic Model only uses model of the independent variable and ignores the time assumption variables. This technique allows estimates of different parameters to be estimated each year and requires a separate model for each year. The formula for this model is as follows:

\[ \ln P = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots + \beta_n X_n \]

Where;

- \( \ln P \) represents declared price of the shop property in log form. Converting a dependent variable in log form makes this equation a semi log. Conversion of dependent variables to log values is intended to obtain data normality;
- \( X_1 \ldots X_n \) represent vectors n independent variables as described in Table 3;
- \( \beta \) represents an estimated parameter vector;

The parameter estimates are obtained by estimating separate regressions for each year. At the same time, it is also necessary to determine the weights for the base year i.e. the average of the quantitative variables considered in the model formation and the percentage of qualitative variables. According to Fisher et al (2007), the advantage of this technique is that the quantity of data can only be seen from the base year. This gives a better comparison and accurate over time. Thus, changes in the index can be related to price changes.

For the Laspeyres technique, the time assumption variables are not included in the equation and the data are regressed according to each year. The index generated from this technique also known as fix weighted or base weighted. The base year is 2008. The formula for calculating the Laspeyres index is as follows:

\[ PI_t = 100 \left( \frac{e^{\beta_t X_0}}{e^{\beta_0 X_0}} \right) \]

Where:

- \( PI_t \) represents the price index for a particular year;
- \( \beta_0 \) represents the regression coefficient of the hedonic model of the base year set i.e. 2008;
- \( \beta_t \) represents the regression coefficient of the current hedonic model;
- \( X_0 \) represents the average variable of shops sold in the base year 2008.
The development of Penang Shop Price Index (PSPI) Using Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model Technique in the development of PSPI is similar to the development of the MHPI and PBO-RI. The different between this index is in terms of base year, where the latest base year for MHPI and PBO-RI is 2010 while PSPI is 2008. There are no significant implications, it will only create 2 different base year.

Table 4 below shows the index generated from the Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model, while Figure 2 shows the index change rate from 2008 to 2014. The regression results for each year showing the Adjusted R Square between 52.2% to 67.5%. Overall, it is found that the index trend generated using Laspeyres method shows an upward pattern. The highest change index rate was recorded in 2012, an increase of 20.3% with an index point of 165.0. A similar growth was also recorded in 2011 which was 20.2% with an index value of 137.1. However, unlike in 2011 & 2012, the index growth in 2009 recorded only 2.4% with an index point recorded of 102.4. Index growth was at 7.0% to 12.0% for 2010, 2013 and 2014. As of 2014, the reference to the index and graph growth index almost doubled compared to the base year 2008 with a record 195.9 points.

Table 4: Summary of PSPI Using Laspeyres Hedonic Price Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Weight 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM154</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP KEKAL</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
<td>-0.317</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKK101</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKK103</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKK104</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
<td>-0.204</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.177</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH1</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D PREWAR</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A TINGKAT</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>2.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A SYER</td>
<td>1.737</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>1.606</td>
<td>1.641</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>1.603</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A LTNH</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>141.636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A LBGN</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>280.765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A UMUR</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>23.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN4</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes: 2.4% 11.4% 20.2% 20.3% 7.2% 10.8%

Source: Author
Figure 2: PSPI Index Point and Growth Rate

Source: Author

Based on the figure 2, the index growth in 2009 was relatively low compared to the year ahead. This growth may have an impact from the US economy around December 2007 to June 2009 where a subprime crisis happens. According to Sanders (2008), the crisis stems from the overly liberal and loose US credit system in the property sector. The US subprime crisis is not just contagious in the financial markets and economies of major powers with strong trade ties with it such as the United Kingdom, European countries, Japan, and China which have been tempting since 2007 but are also contagious in the financial and economic markets globally as a whole including in Malaysia (Utusan Malaysia, 2008).

DISCUSSION

Figure 6 below shows a comparison between PSPI and the Penang House Price Index (PHP1) published by NAPIC. Comparisons are made to show the difference in the change rate between these two indices.
Overall, the growth recorded by PSPI is higher than PHPI except in 2013 and 2014. This indicates that growth for commercial property is better than residential property. Looking at the growth rate pattern for both indices, the growth rate is quite slow especially in 2009. Then the peak of growth can be seen starting 2010 and the following years. This indicates that the development of PSPI is in line with the current of the property market.

CONCLUSION

The existence of property price indicators in Malaysia is still limited. Although various property indicators have been published and disseminated by NAPIC for industry reference, the property market still needs more property price indicators related to commercial property. NAPIC is establish as a data centre, so there is no problem for NAPIC to create more property market indicators with adequate and comprehensive data availability. Therefore, with the development of PSPI, this will not only strengthen the NAPIC entity as an information centre but will also place the country on an equal footing with developed countries in terms of providing property indicators. It is hoped that this study acts as one of the pilot initiatives to develop more commercial property indices and subsequently to develop Malaysian Commercial Property Price Index.
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