

PLANNING MALAYSIA: Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planners VOLUME 16 ISSUE 2 (2018), Page 236 - 245

MAQASID AL-SYARIAH & HUMAN WELL-BEING: A STUDY ON MELAKA'S PUBLIC HOUSING

Syafiee Shuid¹ & Muhammad Faid Mohd Zamin²

^{1,2}Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design, INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

Abstract

The need for proper housing for the people is an undeniable necessity that should be constantly monitored and researched. Housing opportunities should be made available for every individual, regardless of their income as it can be considered as one of the basic necessities for human life. In Islam, it preaches on a just, ethical, non-discriminatory (Oayvim, 1347 C.E.) and efficient protection of its follower's well-being, especially in providing social necessities such as housing. The relationship between the function of Magasid al-Syariah and the public housing would be examined in this paper to determine the effectiveness of the Magasid al-Syariah in the protection of human well-being. In order to analyze the relationship, a set of questionnaires pertaining on the satisfaction level of the society towards the housing market is distributed to 400 respondents equally divided among the three districts in Melaka. The study also concerns itself with the public housing community, as the focus of this research is aimed at the bottom 40% social group in Melaka. Under the Maqasid al-Syariah, the three domains which are the darurriyat (needs), hajiyyat (necessities), and tahsiniyyat (luxuries) are analysed based on the homeownership, housing condition, financial capacity and physical environment.

Keywords: public housing, maqasid al-syariah, well-being, Melaka, affordability

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Islam has taken the centre stage of every known media available, ranging from newspapers to social media, and has been portrayed as a backwards, outdated, war-loving religion that tramples over human-rights. A simple search on the internet could lead to a thousand articles repeating the same stigma of which had been imposed on the holy religion Islam. The gravity of this situation has become greater and greater as the hatred towards organized religions grow ever stronger. Though it is hard to fathom the concrete facts of what had become of Islam today, the search for peace and protection of well-being, be it inter or intra religion should be pursued relentlessly.

In order to digest what a religion is all about, one must take a look at what the religion is preaching to its followers, and the best example to analyze those is from its laws; the Syariah. Ibn al-Qayyim (1347 CE) mentions that Syariah is founded on the wholly on wisdom which aims to ensure the protection of the well-being of people in this life and the next. Any actions or rulings that do not correspond to the foundation of justice, mercy, wisdom and good are therefore discarded and disregarded from Syariah, even if they are claimed to be so according to some interpretation. Hence, Islam, in its most simplistic nature, advocates the caretaking of welfare of the whole population, not just its followers, as often misunderstood by the people.

In this research, a relationship was critically analysed between the implementation of Maqasid al-Syariah with the protection of human well-being, namely involving the supply of affordable houses in Melaka. It is assumed that the current situation would be illuminated through the completion of the research, where satisfaction and perception of the residents regarding the matter would be recorded and analysed.

OVERVIEW OF MAQASID AL-SYARIAH

In essence, Maqasid al-Syariah can be loosely interpreted as wisdom behind a ruling, and acts as a principle that caters to the questions above (Auda, 2007). For example, the reasoning behind the ban on alcohol and intoxicants can be explained through the Maqasid that aims to preserve people's mind and souls. In addition, Ibn Ashur (1946) defines Maqasid al-Syariah as the general aspect which is the purpose and wisdom behind the enactment of all or most of the Syariah ruling. In literal terms, maqsid (plural: maqasid) can be defined as a purpose, objective, principle, intent, goal, or end (Auda, 2007). Hence, Maqasid al-Syariah is the abstract form of moral concepts of which the Syariah is based and becomes the direct link between the Syariah and todays notions of human rights, development, and civility.

Maqasid al-Syariah can be broken down into several key dimensions that are listed according to their hierarchy which are the necessities (darurriyat), needs (hajiyat), and luxuries (tahsiniyyat). The necessities are then further broken down

into several classifications which are preservation of religion, life, dignity or lineage, intellect and property (Afridi, 2016). It is agreed amongst many scholars that these necessities are the key reasoning behind every revealed law as they were considered essential for human well-being itself while the needs and luxuries are considered to be less essential and only acts as an enhancement of an individual's life. Hence the dimensions are interrelated with one another, with the 'needs' acting as a 'shield of protection' to the level of 'necessities' (Auda, 2007).

Auda (2007) further elaborates that he "find the levels of necessity reminiscent of the twentieth century's Abraham Maslow's hierarchy of human (rather than 'divine') objectives or 'basic goals,' which he called, the 'hierarchy of needs'" (p.32).

WELL-BEING

Well-being or quality of life (QOL) is indeed a multi-faceted concept which is widely used globally although it is lacking a precise definition. According to Mohit (2013), the definition of QOL greatly varies between its authors who lived in different regions with their own perspectives which is mostly influenced by their own cultures, social environment and the level of economic development of each specific region. Mulligan, Carruthers and Cahill (2004) broadly interpret QOL as the "satisfaction that a person receives from surrounding human and physical conditions that are scale-dependent and can affect the behaviour of individual people, groups such as households and economic units such as firms" (as cited in Marans and Stimson, 2011).

Furthermore, Shucksmith, Cameron, Merridew and Pichler (2009) argue that "...well-being reflects not only living, but also the ways in which people respond and feel about their lives in those domains". The concept explains that the QOL is based on three main characteristics that are interrelated to each other. Firstly, the QOL focuses on individuals' life situations and their perceptions rather than a whole nation's. Secondly, it covers large dimensions and those dimensions vary greatly with each other but at the same time they co-exist with one another. Examples of such dimensions comprises of; housing conditions, education, employment and others. Finally, it brings together objective information on living conditions with subjective views and attitudes to provide a picture of overall well-being in society (Shucksmith et al., 2009).

Even though the authors differ in terms of their definitions on the concept of QOL, a middle-ground could still be found on the two contradicting definitions. Both Mulligan et al. (2004) and Schucksmith et al. (2009) agree that the quality of life affects all of the individuals regardless of their gender, race or any other differences between one another, and that their perceptions on the surrounding human and physical conditions, be it objective or subjective, are what matter in determining their overall QOL.

METHODOLOGY

The research instrument used for the research is primarily based on questionnaire survey among 400 respondents that were distributed equally in the 3 districts in Melaka. The sample size was determined using a formula by Yamane (1967). For the sampling method, two methods were chosen, namely the stratified and convenience sampling. The list of public housing area in the study area was retrieved by using online sources acquired through the secondary data as well as from respective government departments.

These questionnaires were formulated based on the aspects of Maqasid al-Syariah, which falls under the three domains of Maqasid al-Syariah, which are the Necessities, Needs and Luxuries. In order to analyze the implementation of these domains towards the protection of human well-being, a set of questions were formulated, which includes:

- i. Homeownership
- ii. Challenges in owning a property
- iii. Housing condition
- iv. Financial capacity
- v. Physical environment

The homeownership and challenges in owning a property falls under the necessities domains, where an analysis was made in order to evaluate the homeownership rate and challenges in owning a property in Melaka. It is understood that the sample were mainly from the low-income group from the public housing community, hence this analysis is conducted to determine the effectiveness of the government in providing affordable housing to the population, as in line with the Maqasid al-Syariah. As for the needs domain, which is a complementary interest that would ease the hardship of the population, was measured by aspects of housing condition and financial capacity to determine the effectiveness of the implementation of Maqasid al-Syariah. Lastly, the luxuries domain was analysed through the physical environment which includes the quality of physical environment and social services.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

The questionnaires, as mentioned earlier were distributed to the public housing community in Melaka. These public housing were located in all three districts in Melaka. A total number of 10 public housing were chosen as the locations for questionnaire distribution. However, only two public housing were selected in Jasin due to the limited number of public housing in the district. A detailed information regarding the study area is as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: List of public housing selected as samples in Melaka

District	Name	Number of Samples	Public Housing Type	
	PPR Sungai Putat	34	PPR	
Melaka Tengah	Rumah Awam Pulau Gadong	34	_	
	Rumah Awam Bertam Malim	33		
	Rumah Awam Bukit Rambai 2	33		
	Rumah Awam Rembia	34		
Alor Gajah	Rumah Awam Seri Pengkalan	33	PAKR	
	Rumah Awam Paya Datok	33	FAKK	
	Kampung Tebing Tinggi	34		
Jasin	Taman Seri Chin Chin	66		
	Taman Kelubi Damai	66		
Total	10	400		

Source: Lembaga Perumahan Melaka

 Table 2: Respondents' economic capacity and residential profile

Average Monthly Household Income RM1,000 and below 26 6.8 RM1,001 – RM2,000 59 15.5 RM2,001 – RM3,000 123 32.4 RM3,001 – RM4,000 87 22.9 RM4,001 – RM5,000 52 13.7 RM5,001 – RM6,000 25 6.6 RM6,001 – RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 – RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 – RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 1 Type of House 7 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase 8 RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 – RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 – RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 – RM200,000 24 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 0 55 5.3	Categories	Frequency	Percentage (%)
RM1,001 - RM2,000 59 15.5 RM2,001 - RM3,000 123 32.4 RM3,001 - RM4,000 87 22.9 RM4,001 - RM5,000 52 13.7 RM5,001 - RM6,000 25 6.6 RM6,001 - RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House 2 Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase 8 RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	Average Monthly Household Income		
RM2,001 - RM3,000 123 32.4 RM3,001 - RM4,000 87 22.9 RM4,001 - RM5,000 52 13.7 RM5,001 - RM6,000 25 6.6 RM6,001 - RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 0wn 355 88.8	RM1,000 and below	26	6.8
RM3,001 - RM4,000 87 22.9 RM4,001 - RM5,000 52 13.7 RM5,001 - RM6,000 25 6.6 RM6,001 - RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 0wn 355 88.8	RM1,001 - RM2,000	59	15.5
RM4,001 - RM5,000 52 13.7 RM5,001 - RM6,000 25 6.6 RM6,001 - RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership Own 355 88.8	RM2,001 - RM3,000	123	32.4
RM5,001 - RM6,000 25 6.6 RM6,001 - RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House 8 Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase 8 75.4 RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 0wn 355 88.8	RM3,001 - RM4,000	87	22.9
RM6,001 - RM7,000 4 1.1 RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House	RM4,001 - RM5,000	52	13.7
RM7,001 - RM8,000 1 0.3 RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM5,001 - RM6,000	25	6.6
RM8,001 - RM9,000 3 0.8 Total 400 100.0 Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM6,001 - RM7,000	4	1.1
Total Mean 400 RM2,790.00 Type of House Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM7,001 - RM8,000	1	0.3
Mean RM2,790.00 Type of House 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase 8 75.4 RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM8,001 - RM9,000	3	0.8
Type of House Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	Total	400	100.0
Terrace 383 95.8 Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase 298 75.4 RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	Mean	RM2,790.00	
Flat 17 4.3 Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	Type of House		
Total 400 100.0 House Price at Purchase 298 75.4 RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	Terrace	383	95.8
House Price at Purchase RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership Own 355 88.8	Flat	17	4.3
RM50,000 and below 298 75.4 RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	Total	400	100.0
RM50,001 - RM100,000 52 13.2 RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	House Price at Purchase		
RM100,001 - RM150,000 24 6.1 RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM50,000 and below	298	75.4
RM150,001 - RM200,000 21 5.3 Total 395 100.0 Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM50,001 - RM100,000	52	13.2
Total Mean 395 RM49,773.90 100.0 Home Ownership Own 355 88.8	RM100,001 – RM150,000	24	6.1
Mean RM49,773.90 Home Ownership 355 88.8	RM150,001 - RM200,000	21	5.3
Home Ownership Own 355 88.8	Total	395	100.0
Own 355 88.8	Mean	RM49,773.90	
	Home Ownership		
Rent 45 11.3	Own	355	88.8
	Rent	45	11.3

Total 400 100.0

For the average monthly household income, the findings showed that the mean amounted to RM2,790.00 per month, which was significantly lesser than the national average of RM3,626 per month in 2012 (Institut Rakyat, 2014). To a certain degree, it showed that the respondents were made up from the bottom 40 percent household income group. In terms of housing type, it was identified that the types were divided into two categories, which were terrace houses and flats. The majority of the respondents were living in landed public housing with the percentage of 95.8% while the remaining resided in flats.

In addition, the mean housing price at purchase identified is RM49,773.90, which was extremely affordable although most of the houses purchased decades ago. To compare, the median market price for houses of all housing types in Melaka in the year 2014 was RM181,044 (Khazanah Research Institute, 2015).

It was also observed that 11.3% of the respondents rented the houses they were residing while the majority of the respondents own their properties (88.8%). In light of this, it was identified that though the housing market was considered affordable in Melaka, a portion of the population preferred or had to rent a house to reside in.

Table 3: Challenges in owning a property

No.	Challenges	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1	Eligibility/approval	14	3.5
2	Inadequate income	183	45.8
3	Personal loan	66	16.5
4	Difficulties in Paying the Deposit	65	16.3
5	High processing fees	19	4.8
6	No issues	53	13.3
	Total	400	100.0
	Mean	3.13	

The table above illustrates that almost half of the respondents (45.8%) felt that inadequate monthly income was the greatest obstacle in obtaining a property in Melaka. Even though with Melaka's affordable housing market, the low-income group of the population faced difficulties especially in regards to income when trying to own a property. Other concerning challenges include the personal loan (16.5%) and having difficulties in paying the deposit (16.3%). Both of these issues relate to the inadequacy of income suffered by some of the respondents. As mentioned earlier, the average monthly household income of the respondents amounted to only RM2,790.00 which proved difficult even to own a house in an affordable market.

With the current housing affordability in Melaka, and the number of public houses supplied, it could be assumed that the government's efficiency in providing public housing were quite commendable and reliable. Furthermore, based on the Melaka Housing Institute, numerous affordable housing projects are currently underway to ease the demand of affordable housing in Melaka.

However, as Table 3 suggests, the main concern was more focused towards the inadequate income that were shrouding the majority of the respondents. As the objective of the Maqasid al-Syariah is practically to ensure that the human well-being is rightfully protected, several measures should be proposed and monitored to tackle the issue of income inadequacy.

Nevertheless, the provision of affordable housing was quite well takencared off in Melaka, which is in line with the purpose of Maqasid al-Syariah, particularly under the domain of necessities (darurriyat).

With regards to the results shown in Table 4, it was identified that the majority of the respondents (93.8%) were satisfied with their current housing condition that includes the aspects of comfortability of home and its quality in meeting their daily needs. In addition, the quality of home in meeting daily needs had a higher relationship towards the satisfaction level in comparison with comfort based on the Pearson's r score, though both scores are considered to be weak relationships.

For financial capacity, the respondent's perception on their overall satisfaction were between average and satisfied with a mean score of 3.43. It was identified that the respondents were more affected with the financial difficulties that they were facing instead of adequacy of income.

Table 4: Housing condition, financial capacity, and physical environment

Aspeat		Percentage (%)				Mean	Pearson's R
Aspect	1	2	3	4	5		_
Housing Condition							
Home comfortability	0.0	6.3	3.8	46.5	43.5	4.27	0.27
Quality of home in meeting daily needs	1.5	0.5	5.3	62.8	30.0	4.19	0.13
Overall satisfaction							
with housing	0.0	0.3	6.0	48.3	45.5	4.09	1.00
condition							
Financial Capacity							
Income adequacy	0.5	3.0	12.8	66.3	17.6	4.09	0.12
Financial difficulties	37.0	43.5	14.3	4.8	0.5	1.88	-0.29
Overall satisfaction							
with financial	9.5	11.3	21.8	41.5	16.0	3.43	1.00
capacity							
Physical Environment							
Quality of physical environment	3.8	2.5	8.0	55.0	30.8	4.07	0.28

Wariness on noise pollution	29.5	43.5	6.0	15.5	5.5	2.24	-0.64
Quality of surrounding social services	0.0	2.8	5.3	56.3	35.8	4.25	0.27
Safety and security of the environment	1.5	1.0	2.5	24.5	70.5	4.62	0.53
Overall satisfaction with physical environment	0.0	0.5	13.8	46.8	39.0	4.24	1.00

In terms of physical environment, most of the respondents felt that the quality of their physical environment were very good and were satisfied. Furthermore, 95% of the respondents felt safe and secure in regards to the home environment while 92.1% are happy with the quality of social services available in the area.

Hence, in terms of the domains of needs and luxuries, it was identified that majority of the respondents, as per Table 4, were satisfied with their housing condition and physical environment, although the financial aspects being a lesser out of the three. There were no hardcore issues identified based on the respondents, and majority of the respondents were satisfied with the current condition of their lives.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, it is identified that overall, the respondents enjoyed an affordable housing market, as majority of them (88.8%) own their property with an average household monthly income of RM2,790.00. This suggests that the provision of housing which is considered as a basic necessity of every individual is properly taken care of both by the government, even though there are still a group of minorities who are still not able to own a house. These situations occur most probably due to the income inadequacy as stated by the challenges that was felt by the respondents during the home owning process.

Be that as it may, majority of the respondents are satisfied with their housing condition, financial capacity as well as their current physical environment that encompasses safety and social services. There are no severe issues identified by the respondents, and majority of them are currently happy with their current state of affairs.

All in all, in line with the dimensions of Maqasid al-Syariah, the public housing in Melaka suits the needs of the respondents and are in good quality that satisfies the requirements for the residents to live their daily lives. Furthermore, the government's efficiency in providing public housing are quite commendable and reliable. Based on information from the Melaka Housing Institute, numerous affordable housing projects are currently underway to ease the demand of affordable housing in Melaka.

However, there are still improvements to be made to ensure that the dimensions of Maqasid al-Syariah are thoroughly implemented to achieve the goal to protect humans well-being. A better employment opportunity as well as financial assistances to the hard core-poor from the welfare organization should be sufficient in order to elevate the respondent's quality of life.

REFERENCES

- Afridi, M. A. K. (2016). Maqasid al-shari'ah and preservation of basic rights: Under the theme "Islam and its perspectives on global & loca contemporary challenges". *Journal of Education and Social Sciences*, *4*, 274-285.
- Auda, J. (2007). *Maqasid al-shariah as philosophy of Islamic law (A systems approach)*. London: The International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Ibn al-Qayyim (1347 C.E.).
- Ibn Ashur, M. a.-T. (1946). *Treatise on maqasid al-shariah*. London: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Institut Rakyat. (2014). Key statistics. Institut Rakyat.
- Khazanah Research Institute. (2015). Making Housing Affordable. Kuala Lumpur: Author.
- Marans, R. W. & Stimson, R. J. (2011). *Investigating quality of urban life: Theory, methods and empirical research*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Mohit, M. A. (2013). Quality of life in natural and built environment An introductory analysis. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 101, 33-43.
- Mulligan, G., Carruthers, J., & Cahill, M. (2004). Urban quality of life and public policy: A survey. *Contributions to Economic Analysis*, *266*, 729-802.
- Shucksmith, M., Cameron, S., Merridew, T., & Pichler, S. (2009). Urban-rural differences in quality of life across the European Union. *Regional Studies*, 43(10), 1275-1289
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics An introductory analysis* (2nd Edition). New York: Harper and Row.