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ABSTRACT
The increasing number of vehicles along local roads in many residential areas has created unconducive environment and safety risk due to the increase in the speed passing vehicles. To control the speed of the vehicles, road humps were installed along local roads. This paper evaluates the effects of road humps on the speed of vehicles in Taman Setiawangsa, which is one of the residential areas in Kuala Lumpur. The field observational survey was carried out to collect data on design characteristics of the road humps. A spot speed survey was administered to collect spot speed of vehicles at different points near the road humps and the spot speed data at and near road humps were analysed using measures such as descriptive statistics and dependent t-Test. The design characteristics of the road humps were also compared with the existing road hump guidelines published by the authorities. The major finding of this study depicts the effectiveness of road humps in reducing speed in relation to the road hump’s profiles.
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INTRODUCTION
According to Hamsa (2013), frequent changes in traffic component characteristics such as speed, capacity, road design and safety are considered as the implication of the increasing number of vehicles in a city or town. Reducing speed of vehicles in residential areas is not only necessary to protect pedestrian and bicyclists, but also other road users.

In global status report on road safety by World Health Organization, there are only 59 out of 114 countries which implemented the urban speed limit of 50 kilometre per hour (kmh) or less. Various residential areas have deteriorated in the living environment aspect due to the issues such as increasing of traffic volume, excessive speed, road alignment and other related factors (Hamsa, Miura, Inokuma & Nishimura, 2006). Consequently, the lifestyle of the residents is likely to get affected due to these factors, for instance; the sense of belonging and social interaction among the residents is in the risk to become worse as time goes by, particularly if there are no further alternatives to control the speeding vehicles.

In general, speed humps are well thought out as a viable and attractive measure in controlling speed of the vehicles since the drivers are forced to slow down their vehicles towards the speed hump. As mentioned by Roess, Prassas & Mcshane (2004), the purpose of setting up speed humps is to reduce vehicle speed to tolerable level at certain pre-determined location along the residential road. Additionally, road accidents can be reduced, simultaneously improving the residents’ well-being.

This paper aims to analyse the effects of road humps in reducing vehicle speed along the residential road in Taman Setiawangsa, Kuala Lumpur.

LITERATURE REVIEW
According to the Traffic Calming Guidelines in Malaysia, traffic calming has been defined as a method in which enables behavioural changes of drivers, pedestrians and other road users, who often interact on roads and sidewalks in the communities (HPU, 2002).

In order to create a safe living environment for the residents, the speed of the vehicles is reduced by installing speed humps along residential roads. This is supported by the Auckland Transport whom agreed that speed humps may improve the safety and amenity in a residential area (2013). In terms of safety, Appleyard (1981) recommended that when traffic volume increases beyond what is considered normal by the local residents, or when the vehicles speed increases because of street design, social street activities are greatly reduced, and the feeling of well-being in the affected neighbourhood is threatened.
Road Hump and Speed

Road hump or speed hump is one of the traffic calming measures installed mainly to control the speed of vehicles within permissible speed limit. To achieve lower speed, speed humps are installed at the desired locations mainly along residential roads. Road humps are able to create vehicle rocking motion that causes driver discomfort, thus results in most vehicles slowing down to about 20 mile per hour (mph) at the hump (ITE, 1999).

A study showed that vehicles tend to accelerate and achieve its initial approach speed at a certain distance after the hump compared to the speed at the hump (Karim, Ibrahim & Arif, 2003). As road humps are placed mainly along residential roads having not more than two lanes and speed limit of 30mph, it is considered safe for the residents to use the roads equipped with road humps.

Speed humps are normally three to four inches high and 12 to 22 feet long. The interval between road humps is 200 to 600 feet. The most common speed hump is usually 12 feet in length and 3 to 4 inches high with rounded, flat or parabolic shaped top (Johnson & Nedzesky, 2004).

In Malaysia, there are limited studies and guidelines on the relationship between hump geometric designs, speeds and vibration (Manan & Hoong, 2009; Zainuddin, Adnan, Rahman & Diah, 2010). As a result, the implementation and outcomes of the traffic calming measures vary from one location to another (Mariantwan et al, 2009).

Varhelyi (2002) discusses appropriate highest speed in different conditions (on wet or slippery road, at sharp curves, in darkness, and in decreased visibility), and a system that limits the maximal speed of the vehicle in the actual situation via in-vehicle equipment is proposed. It is estimated that the proposed system would result in 20% to 40% reduction of injury accidents in Sweden. Observing vehicle speed is one way to measure travel safety on the road. Essentially, high speed carries high risk, whereas low speed is considered as relatively safe.

In general, the impacts of traffic calming measures particularly road humps with regard to speed and safety varies greatly with type, geometry, location, spacing and other factors. A study conducted by Ewing (1999) in residential areas in North America shows the average percentage change of 85% speed after the installation of road hump is approximately 23%. Another study in 2004 discovers that the average speed is reduced from 36.4 to 24.4 kmh, which is about 33% of reduction after the introduction of road humps in residential areas.

Sumner and Baguley (1979) state that the installation of road humps in certain residential areas in Britain appeared to be effective in that there has been a consistent reduction in vehicle speed. It has been found that no driver was observed to exceed 35 mph with the humps in place. Traffic speed has been reduced to a level more tolerable to the residents and drivers who used the road.
RESEARCH APPROACH

This section outlines the research objectives, methodology and limitations of this study.

Research Objectives

The objectives of this study include:

i. To estimate the vehicle speed distribution at selected points near road humps along residential road.

ii. To analyse the relationship between the characteristics of road humps and the speed of vehicles at and near the road humps.

iii. To recommend measures in reducing speed of vehicles in residential areas for a safe living environment.

BACKGROUND OF STUDY AREA

Taman Setiawangsa is a residential area which consists of low and high density housing, and a few commercial centres and public amenities. It is located in Setiawangsa, an area on the eastern suburb of Kuala Lumpur. It is surrounded by other residential neighbourhoods such as Wangsa Maju, Ampang Hilir and Keramat (Figure 1).

The housing areas in Taman Setiawangsa are provided with facilities such as mosque, schools, college and a hypermarket, which attract people and resulting in the increase of traffic volume in the area. Access to the area is via Jalan Taman Setiawangsa, which is connected to Jalan Jelatek. The Duta – Ulu Kelang Expressway (DUKE) has also made the area well connected by road network. Jalan Persiaran Setiawangsa, a residential road, was selected for this study. Besides, this road carries high traffic volume.
In 2012, there was a landslide incident in Bukit Setiawangsa, near to Taman Setiawangsa. The main road to Bukit Setiawangsa where the landslide took place was closed due to reconstruction work. As a consequence, traffic volume at Persiaran Setiawangsa is slightly increased due to the change of traffic flow.

INVENTORY SURVEY ON THE DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF ROAD HUMPS

According to Bonsall & O’Flaherty (as cited by Nur Shuhadah, 2014), inventory surveys, which are also referred to as condition surveys, are usually done to assist the identification of the suitable site for data collection, and at the same time to produce and update maps that will be used by researchers for data collection (1997). Therefore, certain secondary data in the study area were extracted before conducting the inventory survey. In this research, the inventory survey was administered to collect data on the design characteristics of road humps.

The design characteristics of road humps are used to identify the selection of road humps for determining the location for the spot speed survey. However, dimension of road hump (width, height and length) and spacing between the road humps were focused in this research.

Spot Speed Survey

A systematic sampling technique was applied for the selection of vehicles to measure spot speed. Every 2nd car was targeted near the road humps to measure
the spot speed of the car. A total sample size of 150 cars was selected for the measurement of spot speed.

![Figure 2 Spot Speed Location](source: Site Survey, 2015)

In this research, the spot speed of car was measured by applying direct-timing procedures. Two road humps, Road Hump 1 and Road Hump 2 were selected along the residential road for the measurement of spot speed.

A total of five different points near the two road humps was chosen; the first point at a distance of 30 metres before Road Hump 1, the second at the Road Hump 1, the third between Road Hump 1 and Road Hump 2, fourth at Road Hump 2 and finally fifth point at the distance of 30m after Road Hump 2 (Figure 2).

**Method of Analysis**

The data were analysed using descriptive analyses and dependent t-Test. Descriptive analysis comprises measures of central tendency such as mean speed, median speed, measures of relative position such as 85th percentile speed and measures of variability such as standard deviation of the measured speed. Meanwhile, a dependent t-test was also applied to test the statistical difference in speed at different points of the two selected road humps.

**ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

**Road Hump Design Characteristics**

Based on the observation and analysis, both Road Hump 1 and 2 were circular in shape with 3.6 meters in length and 70 millimetres in height. The Guidelines by the Ministry of Works (2002) has indicated that the maximum height of a road
The distance between the road humps is 34 meters which is far below the recommended distance of 60m to 180m as suggested by the guidelines.

Table 1 shows the comparison of design characteristics between the studied Road Humps and the guidelines provided by the Ministry of Works. The distance between the road humps is 34 meters which is far below the recommended distance of 60m to 180m as suggested by the guidelines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Characteristics</th>
<th>Road Hump 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Ministry Specification</th>
<th>Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>70 mm</td>
<td>75 mm – 100 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>12.5 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>3.6 m</td>
<td>3.7 m – 4.25 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>34 m</td>
<td>60 m – 180 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Black – yellow striped</td>
<td>Black – yellow striped</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Site Survey, 2015

A study on the relationship between speed humps, speed, volume and crashes by Portland Bureau of Transportation in 1998 has indicated how much distance is available for vehicles to accelerate and decelerate between humps based on its clear spacing. Generally, shorter spacing between humps results in lower speeds as the vehicles generally have less time to accelerate and decelerate between the humps.

Spot Speed Analysis
The lowest speed recorded at 30 meters before approaching the road hump was 30.86 kmh and the speed dropped to 2.92 kmh at the first road hump. However, the speed began to increase (32.3 kmh) between the road humps and again decreased to 2.85 kmh at the second road hump. Speed began to increase again to 44.44 kmh after passing the second road hump (Figure 3).

On the other hand, the highest speed 30 meters before road hump was 93.1 kmh and reduced to 10.2 kmh at Road Hump 1. The speed increased to 75.09 kmh between the road humps and dropped to 9.6 kmh at Road Hump 2. After passing Road Hump 2, the speed increased to 53.73 kmh (Figure 3).
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Figure 3 Speed of 150 Vehicles Samples
Source: Site Survey, 2015
Generally, acceleration took place between the road humps. The lowest speed recorded between the road humps was 27.24 kmh and after leaving Road Hump 2 was 20.26 kmh. On the other hand, the highest speed recorded between the road humps was 75.09 kmh, and 30 meters after Road Hump 2 was 65.45 kmh.

The average speed of the cars at each point varies between one another. The average speed of cars 30 meters before Road Hump 1 was 59.93 kmh while 30 meters after Road Hump 2 was 41.27 kmh. At Road Hump 1, the average speed was 4.63 kmh, while at Road Hump 2 was 4.2 kmh. Furthermore, the average speed of cars between the road humps was 42.5 kmh (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENTRAL TENDENCY</th>
<th>MEAN SPEED</th>
<th>MODAL SPEED</th>
<th>MEDIAN SPEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30m before Road Hump 1</td>
<td>59.93 km/h</td>
<td>72.5 km/h</td>
<td>60 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Road Hump 1</td>
<td>4.63 km/h</td>
<td>4.5 km/h</td>
<td>4.56 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Road Hump 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>42.5 km/h</td>
<td>42.5 km/h</td>
<td>44 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Road Hump 2</td>
<td>4.2 km/h</td>
<td>4.5 km/h</td>
<td>4.15 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30m after Road Hump 2</td>
<td>41.27 km/h</td>
<td>42.5 km/h</td>
<td>41.6 km/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Site Survey, 2015

Modal and median speed can be referred from Table 2. Most of the cars were moving above the posted speed limit which is 35 kmh. The highest speed between all points was 72.5 kmh, which was 30 meters before Road Hump 1. Yet, the speed at both humps reflected the efficiency of the humps. The modal speed at both humps measured at 4.5 kmh. Roughly, 37% of the cars drove at the speed of 42.5 kmh after Road Hump 2.
Mean speed of vehicles decreased while approaching the road humps and increased after leaving the humps. The speed reduced about 38.3 km/h to 55.3 km/h before road humps compared to the speed after road humps which increased up to 37 km/h. The installation of the road humps has induced the vehicles to slow down effectively below the stipulated speed limit but it is not the case at other points either before, in between or after the road humps.

As illustrated in Figure 3, the variation in speed at all points were differed specifically at point before, between and after humps. The standard deviation of speed at both humps was low, but high at other points.

The 85th percentile speed (Table 3) shows that 85 percent of the cars at Road Hump 1 were moving at the speed of 5.65 km/h or below, and at Road Hump 2 at 5.86 km/h or below. Consequently, the installation of the road humps has proved effective in curbing the speed of the vehicles and eventually helps to achieve the safe allowable speed limit of the residential areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>30m before Road Hump 1</th>
<th>At Road Hump 1</th>
<th>Between Road Hump 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>At Road Hump 2</th>
<th>30m after Road Hump 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>85th PERCENTILE</strong></td>
<td>73.58 km/h</td>
<td>5.65 km/h</td>
<td>52.76 km/h</td>
<td>5.86 km/h</td>
<td>46.31 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15th PERCENTILE</strong></td>
<td>44.75 km/h</td>
<td>3.05 km/h</td>
<td>35.94 km/h</td>
<td>3.22 km/h</td>
<td>34.46 km/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Site Survey, 2015*

The difference in speed which before and at Road Hump 1 (Pair 1) and at Road Hump 1 and Road Hump 2 (Pair 2) were tested for statistical significance by using t-test. The results show that both tests were statistically significant at 95% confidence interval (Table 4 and 6).

The findings show that there was a significant difference in the speed of vehicles for both pairs. In addition, there was also significant decrease in speed before road hump (M = 59.93, SD = 13.02) to Road Hump 1 (M = 4.63, SD = 1.1), t (298) = 51.83, p<.0001. Pair 2 also shows significant decrease in speed from Road Hump 1 (M = 4.63, SD = 1.1) to Road Hump 2 (M = 4.2, SD = 0.99), t (298) = 3.56, p<.0004 (Refer Table 5 and 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair 1 Before Road Hump 1</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At Road Hump 1</td>
<td>59.93</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Site Survey, 2015*
### Table 5: Paired Samples Test for Vehicle Speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired Differences</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pair 1 Before - At</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>13.068</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>53.2005</td>
<td>57.3995</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Site Survey, 2015

### Table 6: Paired Samples Statistics for Vehicle Speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Std. Error Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pair 2 At Road Hump 1</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Road Hump 2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Site Survey, 2015

### Table 7: Paired Samples Test for Vehicle Speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired Differences</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pair 2 At - At</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.482</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.1922</td>
<td>0.6678</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Site Survey, 2015

### CONCLUSION

Overall, road humps are considered effective in reducing the speed of vehicles in residential areas. However, installing more road humps can also attribute to undesirable effects on the surrounding environment by increasing air and noise pollution due to sudden and frequent acceleration and deceleration of vehicles. Based on the findings, the speed of vehicles was greatly reduced at road humps. The findings also show that the spacing between the selected road humps, which was 34 meters, is considered inadequate since the traffic calming guidelines suggested it should be at least 100 meters. Shorter spacing between road humps will contribute high air pollution and fuel consumption. Research in Austria shows that cars negotiating along a mile long stretch of road with six humps at 40 km/h speed limit had emitted nitrogen oxides as high as 10 times, poisonous carbon monoxide as high as 3 times, and additional 25% carbon dioxide when compared with vehicles maintaining a constant speed. The study also show that the fuel consumption of the vehicles has increased from 7.9 litres to nearly 10 litres per 100 km.
It is evident that road humps can help in controlling the speed of the vehicles, nevertheless at the same time it also creates unnecessary air and noise pollution which could be detrimental to the well-being of the society in the long run. To help alleviate the problem of speeding especially along residential roads, it is imperative to apply strict enforcement in order to control the driving behaviour of the motorists. On the other hand, the speed of vehicles can be reduced by avoiding a very long and straight road stretch especially in residential areas.
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